

Path Integral for the Dirac Equation

Janos Polonyi

*Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Louis Pasteur University
3 rue de l'Université 67087 Strasbourg, Cedex, France*

and

*Department of Atomic Physics, L. Eötvös University
Pázmány P. sétány 1/A 1117 Budapest, Hungary*

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

A c-number path integral representation is constructed for the solution of the Dirac equation. The integration is over the real trajectories in the continuous three-space and other two canonical pairs of compact variables controlling the spin and the chirality flips.

The path integral representation of the quantum amplitudes [1] has become an essential device in the development of an intuitive picture about quantum physics, the organisation of the perturbation expansion, and in establishing non-perturbative approximations. A serious limitation of the functional methods arises from the difficulties in extending the path integral formalism for relativistic fermions. There have been numerous proposals to solve this problem. The original attempt at a path integral representation for the solution of the 1+1 dimensional massless Dirac equation was a random walk along the line cone of a space-time lattice with a Poisson distributed helicity flips [1,2]. The method was soon generalised for 3+1 dimensions [3] and the highly complicated time ordered products of the Dirac matrices was dealt with by the integration over Grassman-valued trajectories [4]. The propagation along the space-time trajectories and in the spinor space was separated and the latter was treated by brute force in refs. [5]. The propagation can be described in a five dimensional manifold and the resulting path integrals resemble the proper time formalism [6]. Guided by supersymmetry [7] the Grassman variables were introduced combined with the proper time [8]. We aim at a path integral formalism which stays as close as possible to the physics of the problem (does not involve proper time, the continuous trajectories are parametrized by the real time), and is based on c-number integration to allow the use of non-perturbative methods, such as the semiclassical approximation for the fermion propagator. Such a formalism, employed with the second quantization might bring bosons and fermions on equal footing.

The goal of the present paper is the path integral solution of the first quantized Dirac equation

$$i\hbar\partial_t\Psi = H_D\Psi = \left[(-i\hbar\partial + eA) \cdot \alpha + mc^2\beta - eV\right]\Psi, \quad (1)$$

where $\Psi(x, t)$ denotes the Dirac bi-spinor, $(A(x, t), V(x, t))$ is an external gauge field and $\alpha_i = \gamma_0\gamma_i$, $\beta = \gamma_0$ are Dirac matrices. Before embarking the technical problems to resolve

in finding the path integral one is faced with the usual inconsistency of the first quantized quantum mechanics, namely the existence of states with negative energy. This appears in our context as the ill defined nature of the time evolution operator $e^{-itH_D/\hbar}$, even for imaginary time. We avoid this problem by the replacement $H_D \rightarrow H_{cutoff} = H_D + p^2/2M$ in the exponential. The mass parameter M introduced in this manner plays the role of an UV cutoff because this modification is acceptable only for $p < Mc$. The cutoff is implemented in the path integral formalism by the small but finite time step Δt . We shall find the relation $Mc^2 = \kappa\hbar/\Delta t$ where κ is a real positive constant, indicating that the time evolution operator is well defined when $Im(t\Delta t) < 0$, for the time axis which is tilted as $t \rightarrow te^{i\alpha}$, $-\pi/2 < \alpha < 0$. The choice $\alpha = -\pi/4$ will serve as the safe starting point to establish the convergence of the path integral expressions introduced below by means of the analytic continuation either to real or imaginary time.

The problems to solve in the path integral formalism is (i) to generate the first order derivatives in the space coordinates and (ii) to treat the spinor indices. The problem (i) is circumvented within the framework of the Wiener-type path integration by using the bare cutoff hamiltonian, H_{cutoff} . The main difference with the non-relativistic case is the unusual cutoff dependence [9]. (ii) is solved by a well known method, the introduction of additional continuous degrees of freedom for the representation of the dynamics in the Dirac space [10].

In the first step we construct the integration over the three-space trajectories by keeping the spinor dynamics in its algebraic form. For this end we introduce the infinitesimal time propagator $G(x, y; \Delta\tau) = e^{i\mathcal{A}(x, y; \Delta\tau)}$ defined as

$$\Psi(x, \tau + \Delta\tau) = \int dy G(x, y; \Delta\tau) \Psi(y, \tau), \quad (2)$$

where $\tau = tc$. One may motivate the choice of $\mathcal{A}(x, y; \Delta\tau)$ for free massless fermions in the following manner. The self reproducibility,

$$e^{i\mathcal{A}(x, y; \tau_1 + \tau_2)} = \int dz e^{i\mathcal{A}(x, z; \tau_1)} e^{i\mathcal{A}(z, y; \tau_2)}, \quad (3)$$

can easiest be satisfied by using quadratic expression in the coordinates for $\mathcal{A}(x, y; \Delta\tau)$. The translation and rotation symmetries require the functional form

$$\mathcal{A}(x, y; \Delta\tau) = \frac{A(\Delta\tau)}{2}(x - y)^2 + B(\Delta\tau)(x - y) \cdot \tilde{S} + C, \quad (4)$$

$\tilde{S}_j$ standing for a vector which transforms as the spin under rotations. Since the equation of motion contains no $\hbar$ we keep $\mathcal{A}$ $\hbar$ -independent. Then the choice $A = a/\Delta\tau^2$ and $B = b/\Delta\tau$ follows by dimensional argument. We can carry out the integral in (2) after expanding the amplitude in the right hand side at $y = x$ one arrives at

$$\Psi(x, \tau + \Delta\tau) = \int dy e^{i[\frac{a}{2\Delta\tau^2}(x-y)^2 - \frac{b}{\Delta\tau}(x-y) \cdot \tilde{S} + C]} \Psi(y, \tau) = \left[1 - \frac{\Delta\tau b}{a} \tilde{S} \cdot \partial\right] \Psi(x, \tau) \quad (5)$$

for the appropriate choice of the constant C and having dropped the contributions $O(\Delta\tau^2)$. This agrees with the massless Dirac equation for $S_j = \alpha_j$, $a = b = \kappa$ if the characteristic length of the amplitude is long enough, $\Delta\tau \ll \hbar/|p|$, to ignore the terms $O(\Delta\tau^2)$. The mass represents a mixing between the chiral spinors and can be taken into account by the

modification $G \rightarrow Ge^{-i\beta\Delta\tau/\lambda}$, $\lambda = \hbar/mc$ and $\Delta\tau \ll \lambda$. The resulting path integral in the absence of the external field is

$$G(x, y; \tau) = \prod_j \left\{ \int dx_j e^{i\kappa \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x_j - x_{j+1}}{\Delta\tau} \right)^2 - \frac{x_j - x_{j+1}}{\Delta\tau} \cdot \alpha \right] + iC(\Delta\tau)} e^{-i\Delta\tau \frac{mc}{\hbar} \beta} \right\} \quad (6)$$

for a suitable chosen $C(\Delta\tau)$. One introduces the formal notation $\lim_{\Delta\tau \rightarrow 0} \prod_j \int dx_j e^{iC(\Delta\tau)} = \int D[x]$ and can writes

$$G(x, y; \tau) = \int D[x] T \left\{ e^{i \frac{\kappa}{\Delta\tau} \int d\tau \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{dx}{d\tau} \right)^2 - \frac{dx}{d\tau} \cdot \alpha \right]} e^{-i \frac{mc}{\hbar} \int d\tau \beta} \right\}. \quad (7)$$

The convergence of the path integral can be established by starting with the time axis tilted by $-\pi/4$ as explained in the introduction and performing the analytical continuation for real time. The path integral can easily be computed for the massless case,

$$G(x, y; \tau) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} p \cdot (x-y)} e^{-i\tau \left[\frac{\Delta\tau}{2\kappa} \left(\frac{p}{\hbar} \right)^2 - \frac{p}{\hbar} \cdot \alpha \right] + c}, \quad (8)$$

in agreement with the form of H_{cutoff} .

The typical trajectory in (7) is more regular than in the non-relativistic path integral because the linearly diverging coupling constant $\Delta\tau^{-1}$ of the action suppresses the fluctuations. The divergence in the exponent of the integrand is not a real surprise, one is accustomed to find diverging parameters in the bare, cutoff quantum field theories and even in non-relativistic quantum mechanics [11]. In fact, the cutoff of a renormalizable model is removed in the following manner: We are given first a regulated path integral involving the lagrangian $L(\phi, \partial_\mu \phi; g_n)$ and a cutoff Λ . The cutoff is then sent to the infinity. The parameters of the lagrangian become functions of the cutoff, $g_n \rightarrow g_n(\Lambda)$ in such a manner that the regulated path integral with the lagrangian $L(\phi, \partial_\mu \phi; g_n(\Lambda))$ yields convergent observables as $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$. The fine tuning of the bare parameters is introduced in our case for the same goal, to arrive at a cutoff independent, convergent result, (1). One can verify the scaling relations

$$\left\langle \left(\frac{\Delta x}{\Delta\tau} \right)^2 \right\rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \Delta\tau \ll \lambda, \\ \lambda/\Delta\tau & \Delta\tau \gg \lambda \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

confirming the relativistic crossover at $\Delta\tau \approx \lambda$ [9]. These scaling laws indicate that the non-differentiable fractal trajectories of the non-relativistic quantum mechanics are "regulated" by embedding them into a smoother relativistic propagation.

In the second step we eliminate the time ordered product of matrices in the spinor space [10]. For this end we write the matrices of the Dirac hamiltonian in the chiral representation as the direct products $\alpha_j = \sigma_j \otimes \tau_3$ and $\beta = \tau_1$, where σ and τ are the Pauli matrices acting in the spin and the chirality spaces, respectively. In the matrix formalism (7) one uses the basis vectors $|m - \frac{1}{2}\rangle$ $m = 0, 1$ in the spin and the chirality space. Instead of these vectors the dynamics in the four dimensional Dirac space will be followed by means of the overcomplete and continuous bases $|\phi\rangle$ and $|\chi\rangle$, introduced for the spin and the chirality, respectively,

$$|\alpha\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{m=0,1} e^{-i\alpha m} |m - \frac{1}{2}\rangle, \quad (10)$$

with $-\pi \leq \alpha < \pi$. The bi-spinor $\Psi(x, t)$ is converted into a single component amplitude by projecting it onto the basis vector $|\phi, \chi\rangle = |\phi\rangle \otimes |\chi\rangle$, $\Psi(\phi, \chi, x, t) = \langle \phi, \chi | \Psi(x, t) \rangle$. The time evolution is given by

$$\Psi(\phi, \chi, x, \tau + \Delta\tau) = \int dy d\phi' d\chi' G(x, \phi, \chi, y, \phi', \chi'; \Delta\tau) \Psi(\phi', \chi', y, \tau), \quad (11)$$

where

$$G(x, \phi, \chi, y, \phi', \chi'; \Delta\tau) = \langle \phi, \chi | e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \Delta\tau H_{cutoff}} | \phi', \chi' \rangle. \quad (12)$$

By the help of the relation

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\phi \langle m' - \frac{1}{2} | \phi \rangle \langle \phi | m - \frac{1}{2} \rangle = \delta_{m', m}, \quad (13)$$

$m, m' = 0, 1$ we find the resolution of the identity

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\alpha |\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha| = 1. \quad (14)$$

The repeated insertion of this form of the identity into the time evolution operator yields the path integral where the trajectories are $x(\tau)$, $\phi(\tau)$ and $\chi(\tau)$. The Poisson resummation formula

$$\sum_{\ell=\ell_1}^{\ell_2} f(\ell) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\ell_1-\epsilon_-}^{\ell_2+\epsilon_+} dp f(p) e^{i2\pi np}, \quad (15)$$

where ℓ , ℓ_j , n are integers and $0 < \epsilon_{\pm} < 1$ can be used to write the overlap between the basis elements as

$$\langle \alpha | \beta \rangle = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\epsilon_-}^{1+\epsilon_+} \frac{dp}{2\pi} e^{ip(\alpha-\beta+2\pi n)}, \quad (16)$$

suggesting the interpretation of the variables α and p as canonical pairs.

We need in (5) the matrix elements of the Pauli matrices,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha | \sigma_3 | \beta \rangle &= \frac{e^{i(\alpha-\beta)} - 1}{2\pi} \\ &= \frac{1}{i\pi} e^{\frac{i}{2}(\alpha-\beta)} \partial_{\alpha} e^{-\frac{i}{2}(\alpha-\beta)} \sum_{m=0,1} e^{im(\alpha-\beta)} \\ &= 2e^{\frac{i}{2}(\alpha-\beta)} \partial_{\alpha} e^{-\frac{i}{2}(\alpha-\beta)} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{1+0} \frac{dp}{2\pi} p e^{ip(\alpha-\beta+2\pi n)} \\ &= 2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{1+0} \frac{dp}{2\pi} \left(p - \frac{1}{2} \right) e^{ip(\alpha-\beta+2\pi n)}, \\ &= 2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-1+0}^{1-0} \frac{dp}{2\pi} p e^{i(p+\frac{1}{2})(\alpha-\beta+2\pi n)}, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where the Poisson resummation formula was used in the third equation to write the sum over m as an integral. The matrix elements of $\sigma_{\pm} = \sigma_1 \pm i\sigma_2$ are given by $\langle \alpha | \sigma_+ | \beta \rangle = e^{i\alpha}/\pi$, $\langle \alpha | \sigma_- | \beta \rangle = e^{-i\beta}/\pi$,

$$\langle \alpha | \sigma_{\pm} | \beta \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_n \delta_{n,0} e^{i(n+\frac{1}{2})(\alpha-\beta) \pm \frac{i}{2}(\alpha+\beta)} f(n), \quad (18)$$

where $f(n)$ is an arbitrary function satisfying $f(0) = 1$. The Poisson resummation formula yields

$$\langle \alpha | \sigma_{\pm} | \beta \rangle = 2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-1+0}^{1-0} \frac{dp}{2\pi} \sqrt{1-p^2} e^{i(p+\frac{1}{2})(\alpha-\beta+2\pi n) \pm \frac{i}{2}(\alpha+\beta+2\pi n)}, \quad (19)$$

for the choice $f(n) = \sqrt{1-n^2}$. The application of (17) and (19) gives the matrix elements of $v \cdot \sigma = v_3\sigma_3 + (v_+\sigma_- + v_-\sigma_+)/2$, $v_{\pm} = v_1 \pm iv_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha | v \cdot \sigma | \beta \rangle &= 2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-1+0}^{1-0} \frac{dp}{2\pi} e^{i(p+\frac{1}{2})(\alpha-\beta+2\pi n)} \\ &\times \left\{ v_3 p + \sqrt{1-p^2} \left[v_1 \cos \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta + 2\pi n}{2} \right) + v_2 \sin \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta + 2\pi n}{2} \right) \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

We are now in the position to rederive (7) in the continuous basis,

$$G(x_f, \phi_f, \chi_f, x_i, \phi_i, \chi_i; \tau) = \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \left(\int dx_k d\phi_k d\chi_k \right) \prod_{j=1}^N \left(\int \frac{ds_{3,j}}{2\pi} \frac{dt_{3,j}}{2\pi} \sum_{n_j, N_j=-\infty}^{\infty} \right) e^{i\mathcal{A}'}, \quad (21)$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$, with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}' &= \sum_{j=1}^N \left[\left(s_{3,j} + \frac{1}{2} \right) (\phi_j - \phi_{j-1} + 2\pi n_j) + \left(t_{3,j} + \frac{1}{2} \right) (\chi_j - \chi_{j-1} + 2\pi N_j) \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{\kappa}{2} \left(\frac{x_j - x_{j-1}}{\Delta\tau} \right)^2 - 4\kappa t_{3,j} \frac{x_j - x_{j-1}}{\Delta\tau} \cdot s_j - 2 \frac{\Delta\tau}{\lambda} t_{1,j} \\ &\quad \left. - 4\Delta\tau \frac{e}{c\hbar} t_{3,j} s_j \cdot A_j \left(\frac{x_j + x_{j-1}}{2} \right) + \Delta\tau \frac{e}{c\hbar} V_j(x_j) + C \right], \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

$x_0 = x_i$, $\phi_0 = \phi_i$, $\chi_0 = \chi_i$, $x_N = x_f$, $\phi_N = \phi_f$, $\chi_N = \chi_f$, $A_j(x) = A(x, j\tau/N)$, $V_j(x) = V(x, j\tau/N)$. We used the mid-point prescription in the vector potential to ensure gauge invariance and the vectors corresponding to the spin and the chirality spaces are given by

$$\begin{aligned} s_3 &= s_{3,j} & s_+ &= \sqrt{1-s_{3,j}^2} e^{\frac{i}{2}(\phi_j + \phi_{j-1} + 2\pi n_j)} \\ t_3 &= t_{3,j} & t_+ &= \sqrt{1-t_{3,j}^2} e^{\frac{i}{2}(\chi_j + \chi_{j-1} + 2\pi N_j)}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

By the shift of the variables $\phi_j \rightarrow \phi_j - 2\pi \sum_{k \leq j} n_k$, $\chi_j \rightarrow \chi_j - 2\pi \sum_{l \leq j} N_l$, and the extension of the region of integration for ϕ and χ over the whole real axis the summation over n_j and N_j decouples and can be ignored. The resulting path integral expression is

$$G(x_f, \phi_f, \chi_f, x_i, \phi_i, \chi_i; \tau) = \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \left(\int dx_k d\phi_k d\chi_k \right) \prod_{j=1}^N \left(\int \frac{ds_{3,j}}{2\pi} \frac{dt_{3,j}}{2\pi} \right) e^{i\mathcal{A}}, \quad (24)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} = & \sum_{j=1}^N \left[\left(s_{3,j} + \frac{1}{2} \right) (\phi_j - \phi_{j-1}) + \left(t_{3,j} + \frac{1}{2} \right) (\chi_j - \chi_{j-1}) \right. \\ & + \frac{\kappa}{2} \left(\frac{x_j - x_{j-1}}{\Delta\tau} \right)^2 - 4\kappa t_{3,j} \frac{x_j - x_{j-1}}{\Delta\tau} \cdot s_j - 2 \frac{\Delta\tau}{\lambda} t_{1,j} \\ & \left. - 4\Delta\tau \frac{e}{c\hbar} t_{3,j} s_j \cdot A_j \left(\frac{x_j + x_{j-1}}{2} \right) + \Delta\tau \frac{e}{c\hbar} V_j(x_j) + C \right], \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

and

$$s_j = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1 - s_{3,j}^2} \cos \frac{\phi_j + \phi_{j-1}}{2} \\ \sqrt{1 - s_{3,j}^2} \sin \frac{\phi_j + \phi_{j-1}}{2} \\ s_{3,j} \end{pmatrix}, \quad t_j = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1 - t_{3,j}^2} \cos \frac{\chi_j + \chi_{j-1}}{2} \\ \sqrt{1 - t_{3,j}^2} \sin \frac{\chi_j + \chi_{j-1}}{2} \\ t_{3,j} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (26)$$

The integration over the variable ϕ_k, χ_k can be restricted within the interval $[-2\pi, 2\pi]$ due to the periodicity of the integrand. One thus finds in the continuum limit the expression

$$\int D[x] D[s] D[t] e^{i \int d\tau \left\{ \frac{\kappa}{\Delta\tau} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{dx}{d\tau} \right)^2 - 4t_3 \frac{dx}{d\tau} \cdot s \right] - 2 \frac{mc}{\hbar} t_1 - 4 \frac{e}{c\hbar} t_3 s \cdot A(x) + \frac{e}{c\hbar} V(x) + \left(s_3 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{d\phi}{d\tau} + \left(t_3 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{d\chi}{d\tau} \right\}} \quad (27)$$

for the solution of the Dirac equation, where the trajectories $s(\tau)$ and $t(\tau)$ are on the sphere of unit length.

Several remarks are in order at this point. (a) As announced above, $D[s] = D[\cos \theta] D[\phi]$ and $D[t] = D[\cos \Theta] D[\chi]$ represent the integrations over the orientation of the spin and the chirality which are parametrized as $s = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)$, and $t = (\sin \Theta \cos \chi, \sin \Theta \sin \chi, \cos \Theta)$, respectively. (b) Note that the half of the winding numbers $\frac{1}{2} \int d\phi$ and $\frac{1}{2} \int d\chi$ in the action generate the sign -1 for rotations by 2π . Thus the path integration involves double valued amplitudes in the spin *and* the chirality spaces. This is equivalent by saying that the integration $D[s]$ and $D[t]$ is over the covering space which contains S_3 twice, in agreement with the mid-point prescription for s and t , i.e. the appearance of the half angles in (26). (c) The variables $(\cos \theta, \phi)$ and $(\cos \Theta, \chi)$ can be considered as canonical momenta and coordinates. The continuous formal notation actually hides the fact that there are one less coordinate than momentum variable in the path integral. The terms $s_3 d\phi/d\tau$ and $t_3 d\chi/d\tau$ are of the usual form $p\dot{q}$, characterizing the path integrals in the phase space. The discrete spectrum of the angular momentum and the corresponding finite integration range for s_3 and t_3 prevent us from eliminating the momentum variables and to reduce the amplitude to a path integral in the coordinate space only. This complication leaves behind a rather singular trajectory structure for the angle variables. (d) The term involving t_1 generates the rotation of the chiral angle Θ . In the massless case the χ integration gives $dt_3/d\tau = 0$, the conservation of the chiral angle for massless fermions. The second and the fourth terms in the exponent are responsible for the spin precession modulated by the chiral angle. (e) Though the parameter κ is left free we recall that the saddle point trajectory $x_{cl}(\tau)$ of the path integral (7) is the smoothest for $\kappa = \pi$ [12]. (f) It is worthwhile

mentioning the double role the piece $O(\dot{x}^2)$ of the action plays: It generates a second order finite difference equation for the saddle point trajectories as expected from the semiclassical limit and eliminates the species doubling by appearing as a Wilson term. Note the manifest chiral invariance.

Finally, a remark concerning the second quantization, mentioned at the introduction. Due to the spin-statistics theorem the phase corresponding to the rotations by 2π and the exchange of equivalent particles are the same. Since the factor -1 of the rotations is built in (26) one hopes to arrive at the second quantized path integral without Grassman variables. Furthermore, the chiral invariance of the first quantized amplitude (27) suggests that the no-go theorem for lattice chiral fermions [13] could be avoided.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank L. Schulman for helpful remarks in the course of this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. P. Feynman, A. R. Hibbs, *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals*, (McGraw-Hill, N.Y.), 1965.
- [2] T. Jacobson, L. S. Schulman, *J. Phys. A* **17**, 375 (1984); I. Ichinose, *J. Math. Phys.* **25**, 1810 (1984).
- [3] G. Rosen, *Phys. Rev. A* **28**, 1139 (1983); B. Gaveau, *J. Funct. Anal.* **58**, 310 (1984); T. Jacobson, *J. Phys. A* **17**, 2433 (1984); B. Gaveau, T. Jacobson, M. Kac, L. S. Schulman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **53**, 419 (1984).
- [4] B. Gaveau, L. S. Schulman, *Phys. Rev. D* **36**, 1135 (1987); B. Gaveau, L. S. Schulman, in the Proceedings of *Path-integrals from peV to TeV, 50 years from Feynman's paper*, Florence, August, 1998.
- [5] G. J. Papadopoulos, J. T. Devrees, *Phys. Rev. D* **13**, 2227 (1976); M. Kaye A. Inomata, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **53**, 107 (1976).
- [6] T. Miura, *Prog. Th. Phys.* **61**, 1521 (1979); A. O. Barut, N. Zanghi, *PRL* **52**, 2009 (1984); A. O. Barut, I. H. Duru, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **53**, 2433 (1984).
- [7] F. Ravnal, *Phys. Rev. D* **21**, 2823 (1980); M. Henneaux, C. Teitelboim, *Ann. Phys.* **143**, 127 (1982); V. Ya. Fainberg, A. V. Mazshakov, *Nucl. Phys. B* **306**, 659 (1988).
- [8] D. M. Gitman, S. I. Zlatev, *Phys. Rev. D* **55**, 7701 (1997).
- [9] P. Gosselin, J. Polonyi, *Ann. Phys.* **267**, (1998).
- [10] J. R. Klauder, B. S. Skagerstam, *Coherent States*, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1985); D. Rohrlich, H. B. Nielsen, *Nucl. Phys. B* **299**, 471 (1998); K. Johnson, *Ann. Phys.* **192**, 104 (1989); T. Kashiwa, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **5**, 375 (1990); K. Funahashi, T. Kashiwa, S. Nima, S. Sakoda, *Nucl. Phys. B* **453**, 508 (1995).
- [11] J. Polonyi, *Ann. Phys.* **252**, 300 (1996).
- [12] J. Polonyi in the Proceedings of *Path-integrals from peV to TeV, 50 years from Feynman's paper*, Florence, August, 1998.
- [13] H. B. Nielsen, M. Ninomiya, *Nucl. Phys.* **185**, 20 (1981).